

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

			<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,346	03/29/2004	Osamu Kobayashi	GENSP151C1	5003	
22434 7590 03/29/2006			EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			SHERKAT, AREZOO		
	CA 94612-0250	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2131		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/813,346		KOBAYASHI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131		

before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecanse
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			coausc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	. = 50.0,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / intertaintent	(. , 02 02 1).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered busee note.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
10. L. Olliet		CHRISTOPI PRIMARY I	
		Cel	3/26/06

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

As previously discussed, Matyas discloses selecting one of a number of available encryption protocols for each of the provided keys (i.e., such as authentication key), encrypting each of the provided keys based upon a particular one of the selected encryption protocols, storing the encrypted keys in a non-volatile memory by the PCB, decrypting the stored encrypted key, as needed, by the PCB based upon the selected encryption protocol (i.e., KMb.C6 is formed as the exclusive or product of the master key, KMb, stored in CF 30' and control vector C6. Thus the type and usage attributes assigned by the originator of the key-encrypting key in the form of a control vector are cryptographically coupled to the key-encrypting key such that the recipient may only use the received key-encrypting key in a manner defined by key generatore)(Col. 9, lines 57-67 and Col. 10-11, lines 1-67 and Col. 12, lines 1-27).